



City of Anaheim
Office of the City Clerk

200 S Anaheim Blvd Suite 217
Anaheim, CA 92805
(714) 765-5166 • fax (714) 765-4105
www.anaheim.net

Request for Public Records

- STEP 1: COMPLETE all fields on this form. This form is to facilitate and expedite the processing of your public records request. Describe identifiable records in the possession of the City; your request must be sufficiently focused and specific to allow the City to locate the requested record(s).
STEP 2: SUBMIT completed form by mail, fax, email or in person to Custodian of Records, Office of the City Clerk, 200 S. Anaheim Blvd. (714) 765-5166; landal@anaheim.net fax: (714) 765-4105
STEP 3: WAIT to receive an invoice for responsive records. The City shall determine within 10-days from receipt of a public records request, whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of discloseable public records in possession of the City [GC 6253(c)]. Please note that if you are requesting the opportunity to inspect records, you will be requested to make an appointment to return at a later date/time to view the documents.

Charges for the direct cost of duplication will apply. Documents will not be copied until payment has been received. If payment is not received within 10 days after invoice is sent, you may be required to submit a new request. Please see backside for additional information.

REQUESTER INFORMATION

Full Name: Denis Fitzgerald Date: 8/24/15 12:00 AM
Company Name: N/A
(Mailing) Address: City/State/Zip Code:
Phone number: Email:
Preferred method of contact in the event of questions:
US Mail

DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED RECORD(S): (Describe the specific public record(s) e.g., date, type, time period covering documents requested etc)

Second request for same Public Records: On August 3, 2015, I made a written request (# 08042015-1) for any and all records (including CD's, tapes, Puma recordings, and/or transcripts) as related to the letter dated July 30, 2015 from the Anaheim Police Department LT Jung and CPT Hittesdorf concerning an investigation to cover up wrongdoings and misconduct by the Anaheim Police Department.

Fourteen days later, on August 17, 2015, I telephoned the Anaheim City Clerk (who is the Custodian of Records for the City of Anaheim), informing that office that they were in violation of the California Public Records Act (CPRA) in not producing the requested records within the required ten days. I was informed that the records requested were not available at that day but would be available the next day.

The next day I traveled to the Anaheim City Hall and picked up 42 pages which consisted of only the revised police report without a video recording of the incident. That video had been withheld from the defendant until after the schedule start of his misdemeanor criminal trial.

Requested in the August 3, 2015 CPRA request was for any and all records as are being asked for in this second request. Included are a copy of the critical video evidence that was needed to prove the misdemeanor defendant innocent of all charges. the secret video recording in question of the defendant's alleged assault was confiscated by the Anaheim PD in December 2014, but was illegally withheld for over four months by the Anaheim police in order to convict an innocent person.

The information entered to request for public records is continued on succeeding page(s)

I understand that I will be contacted once documents have been identified. If production of records is requested, an estimated cost will be provided to me and I agree that I will be required to submit payment for duplication costs (and mailing) prior to the production of the requested documents.

I wish to inspect City records Will Pick-Up Records [X] Please Mail

Electronically Signed/Submitted 8/24/15 12:00 AM

SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER



City of Anaheim
Office of the City Clerk

200 S. Anaheim Blvd., Suite 217
Anaheim, CA 92805
(714) 765-5166 • fax (714) 765-4105
www.anaheim.net

Request for Public Records

DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED RECORD(S)-Continuation

The Attorney on Record in that case was denied the existence of the critical video in a recorded telephone call on December 30, 2015 from the Anaheim PD Detective in Charge of the case (the telephone recording and video itself was illegally withheld from the first CPRA request but is again requested in this second CPRA request). The Attorney on Record was able to obtain the secret video from the Anaheim PD in time for the defendant to be found innocent by jury. He did this by a subpoena for the Anaheim PD Detective in Charge who made the misleading telephone call that the video did not exist. Although the Attorney on Record did not of the existence of the secret video, like the criminals they obviously are, the Anaheim PD questionable employees panicked and thought the Attorney on Record did have knowledge of the existence of the video and produced the video to the court officials at the last possible moment.

To summarize, this second request for public records is for the records that the Anaheim Police Department are now illegally withholding in the CPRA in order to cover up their previous felony withholding of critical trial evidence.

What is occurring is that the Anaheim Police Department in trying to cover up their previous wrongdoings and misconduct are now committing additional crimes in trying to cover up their previous actions.

The revised police report as submitted was the only records received in the first CPRA request. That revised police report was already made available to a number of parties at the five day misdemeanor trial. (That trial was unnecessary if the Anaheim PD had not withheld the secret video until it was too late.)

Additional misconduct by the Anaheim PD was their accompanying warning condition with the release of that already circulated revised police report. That condition by the APD was a written threat to the CPRA requester that he would be arrested and prosecuted if he divulges any information in the revised police report without first having the written approval from the Anaheim Police Department. Unbelievable! Why didn't they just redact any information they didn't want to be released? (Because in doing so, they would further indicate what they are hiding).

Recently, there has been considerable information that the Anaheim police shot an unarmed Latino youngster (named Moreno) for running away from the Anaheim police. The Anaheim police emptied their weapons into the Latino's face, literally blowing his head off. In shooting this Latino child, the Anaheim police accidentally shot their own police dog (Bruno). The Anaheim Police are now trying to cover up what really happen and are refusing to allow the bullet to be removed from the dog claiming the dog is a hero and needs a statue of him at Anaheim Parks.

This police letting the dog, Bruno, die from lead poisoning to preserve their cover up of the murder of the Latino boy (Moreno).

The bottom line is this: If the Anaheim Police are willing to go to great lengths to lie and cheat about a video in a misdemeanor case, they are certainly going to be covering up their murder of a defenseless Latino boy named Morino.

Please immediately comply to this CPRA request for any and all related records.